

FINAL MINUTES

***Klamath Fishery Management Council Meeting
April 7-10, 2002
Columbia River Doubletree Hotel
Portland, Oregon
Meeting #69***

Sunday, April 7

3:00 pm Convene and introduction of members

Representative Seat

California Commercial Salmon Industry
California In-river Sport Fishing Community
California Offshore Recreational Fishing Industry
California Department of Fish and Game
Hoopa Valley Tribe
National Marine Fisheries Service
Non-Hoopa Indians Residing in Klamath Conservation Area
Oregon Commercial Salmon Fishing Industry
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Pacific Fishery Management Council
U.S. Department of Interior

Members Present

Dave Bitts
Virginia Bostwick
Paul Kirk (vice-Chair)
LB Boydston (alternate)
Mike Orcutt
Dan Viele (Chair)
Dave Hillemeier
Keith Wilkinson
Steve King
Don Hansen (alternate)
Gary Curtis (alternate)

ADMINISTRATION

Agendum 1. Report on status of member appointments

Gary Curtis reported that all members are now officially appointed.

Agendum 2. Review and approve agenda

LB Boydston asked to have the following items added to the agenda: a review of the California Fish and Game Commission's tentative options for river and ocean fisheries (Agendum 8b), a discussion of California's concerns regarding coho impacts (Agendum 8c), and spring Chinook tentative actions taken by the California Fish and Game Commission (Agendum 13a).

****Motion**** Keith Wilkinson moved to approve the agenda as amended.

****Second**** LB Boydston seconded the motion

****Motion Passed**** unanimously

Agendum 3. Review handouts

Gary Curtis reviewed the handouts (see Attachment 2).

Agendum 4. Adopt minutes from the October 17-18, 2001, meeting

Dave Hillemeier, Steve King, Mike Orcutt, Dan Viele and Keith Wilkinson submitted corrections to the minutes.

****Motion**** Keith Wilkinson move to approve the October, 2001 minutes as amended.

****Second**** Dave Bitts seconded the motion

****Motion Passed**** unanimously

Agendum 5. Charter review update (staff)

Gary Curtis introduced draft revisions to the Klamath Fishery Management Council (KFMC) charter regarding appointments, appointing authorities, alternates, and what the KFMC consists of (*see Handout Agendum 5*). Dave Bitts asked whether the proposed change in Section 3g would mean that alternates must be appointed by the appointing authority. Gary Curtis said no, not unless the appointing authority wishes to do so. However, it is required that the Yreka Fish and Wildlife Office be informed in writing who the alternate is. LB Boydston said that in the case of the State of California, the State directors should concur with the choice of alternates. Members explained their various situations with respect to alternates, and Sam Sharr said he wished to keep the ability for members to appoint their own alternates. Members then discussed the proposed 6-month interim appointments, and whether multiple interim appointments would be allowed. Keith Wilkinson said he would need to consult with the Oregon Governor's office before agreeing to any procedure changes. Dan Viele said he and the staff would send a letter to the KFMC appointing authorities asking for their input on the draft changes to the KFMC operating procedures and charter before asking the KFMC to approve changes.

On a related topic, Keith Wilkinson pointed out that the Chairs of the KFMC and Klamath River Basin Fisheries Task Force (Task Force) have sometimes had their alternates (who are not unanimously elected) run meetings in their absence, instead of the vice-chair. This does not follow the protocol of the Charter nor Roberts' Rules of Order. Dan Viele offered to discuss this with the Fish and Wildlife Service.

Agendum 6. Public comment

There was no public comment.

2002 MANAGEMENT SEASON

Agendum 7. Review of recommendations made to the PFMC by the KFMC in March

Dan Viele reviewed the KFMC's recommendation from the March, 2002 meeting (*See Handout Agendum 7*). Members discussed how the three troll options agreed upon by the KFMC were later changed by the Salmon Advisory Subpanel (SAS). This was in part because the KFMC did not have coho impact information when they made the recommendation.

LB said that Option 1 from the SAS was developed by the KFMC, but Options 2 and 3 were not. Dan Viele said that the KFMC presented three options but did not specify preference, and there may have been too many options. Keith Wilkinson said that one of the other options came from the SAS and one from the general public. Dan Viele pointed out that the KFMC has not provided fully developed options for the troll fishery for some time, and that the SAS, KFMC, and the general public were all advisory to the PFMC.

LB Boydston said he was disappointed in this, because the KFMC had spent two days reaching agreement on them. He said that next year the KFMC should ask that its options go in March. Dave Bitts suggested talking to the SAS to avoid overlapping efforts next year. LB Boydston said that maybe KFMC needs to start earlier than the SAS next year and give them something prior to their deliberations.

Dan Viele said that the KFMC shouldn't disturb SAS's mission.

Agendum 8a. Review of the PFMC's options for public review

LB Boydston said that this has been one of the hardest years to balance fishing opportunities for Klamath River fall Chinook and coho considerations.

Members reported on the public opinion expressed at the PFMC's meetings to gather input on the options. Dan Viele and Paul Kirk reported on the Eureka meeting, Steve King reported on the Coos Bay and Tillamook meetings, and LB Boydston reported on the Moss Landing meeting. There was a lot of unhappiness expressed in the recreational ocean fishery over the loss of fishing in July. Some trollers asked for more time and higher landing limits.

Agendum 8b. Review of California Fish and Game Commission's tentative options for river and ocean fisheries

LB Boydston reported that the California Fish and Game Commission supported the Klamath Management Zone (KMZ) Coalition's preferred option (*see Handout Agendum 10*). All the other options were adopted as written. There was a clarification on gear restriction; circle hooks must have the point of the hook directed at the shank (not offset). This restriction will be implemented July 1.

Neil Manji discussed CDFG regulations. He said the daily and weekly bag limits will be the same as last year. The real-time creel monitoring will be used to determine if any closures are necessary. If the entire river quota is not going to be met then no closures will occur. Neil Manji said that fishing restrictions were in place for the Klamath River from April 1 thru July 1 to protect spring Chinook. He said that fish greater than 22 inches could not be taken between Coon Creek and the Interstate 5 bridge on the Klamath, or anywhere in the Trinity River. Virginia Bostwick asked what the response was to the closures. Neil Manji said there was not much opposition, since flow is typically high then. He said people wanted to fish on Memorial Day and that they worked around that.

Agendum 8c. Discussion of California's concerns regarding coho impacts

LB Boydston read his handout on this subject (*see Informational Handout*). He pointed out that when we close fisheries we also lose data points needed to reopen fisheries. He said he would like the Technical Advisory Team (KRTAT) to revisit the issue of not scaling coho impacts in California, before next year. Allen Grover said Jim Seger worked on a better impact estimate for Fort Bragg in May in August, and the STT was going to review it. Michael Mohr said the Salmon Technical Team (STT) had little appetite for making changes at this stage, but would look at it. Dave Bitts said that applying a quota in Fort Bragg could be an option to keep effort from increasing out of control.

Members continued to discuss the issues regarding coho impacts on the fishery.

LB Boydston said that he and Steve King conferred, and that OCN/Klamath impacts were not for the KFMC to make recommendations on. He said they recommended that the states work with NMFS to find an acceptable level of impacts.

****Motion**** LB Boydston made a motion to include the following in the KFMC report to the PFMC:

- 1) The KFMC discussed the coho situation regarding OCN and Rogue/Klamath impacts and its effects on fisheries for Klamath River fall Chinook.
- 2) The KFMC recommends using quotas in the Ft. Bragg troll fishery under Option 1 to achieve coho impact ceilings as determined by the PFMC.
- 3) The harvest sharing of Klamath River fall Chinook between Oregon and California under this option is not intended to be a long-term allocation, but is in response to coho concerns.
- 4) Reductions in allowable ocean harvest of Klamath River fall Chinook and the resulting increase in the recreational river fishery will result in a reduction in the total allowable harvest, thereby reducing the Tribal allocation.

****Second**** Dave Bitts seconded the motion for discussion

****Motion Passed**** no vote taken

LB Boydston said that this should bring the troll fishery split closer to 50:50. Members continued the discussion on the various parts of the motion.

Agendum 6. (continued) Public comment

Jerry Reinholdt said that we always ask the fisherman to shut down, and it is extremely hard to start up again. The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) needs to allow us to collect the data or we will never get the LA coast back. I support this and am going in to consult with NMFS.

Jim Welter said that we got information from February KFMC meeting and KRTAT. Need information from

fisherman's groups. The SAS develops options, and when you arbitrarily took July out it wasn't our option. He said that the coho impact information was not available ahead of time, and SAS can't work out good options without the information. Jim Welter said that the KFMC should not say that the SAS doesn't pay attention to them. He said the KFMC has made progress in getting the SAS some options.

Agendum 8c. (continued) Discussion of California's concerns regarding coho impacts

Members further discussed the issues regarding coho impacts. LB Boydston said that we don't have current data on coho contacts. He said that he sees us moving into the situation, like with groundfish, that coho is bycatch. He said that we must get observers on board to document coho contacts.

Mike Orcutt expressed reservation about how the Tribal and non-Tribal shares are calculated. He said that the non-ESA constrained model run resulted in 1200 more fish for the Tribes than KFMC Option 1. He requested further discussion on this process. LB Boydston said that the reduction in Tribal allocation was mentioned in the motion (see above).

****Motion**** Keith Wilkinson moved to table the motion until tomorrow

****Second**** Paul Kirk seconded the motion

****Motion Passed**** unanimously

Agendum 9. Klamath Ocean Harvest Model (KOHM) analysis of PFMC options

This Agendum was not specifically addressed, but was covered during discussions of other agenda items.

Recess

Monday, April 8

Reconvene [Sam Sharr is alternate for Steve King]

Agendum 10. Action: Develop additional recommendations for the 2002 management season for presentation to the PFMC and other agencies (members)

****Motion**** Keith Wilkinson moved to remove from the table yesterday's motion made by LB Boydston

****Second**** Dave Bitts seconded the motion

****Motion Passed**** unanimously

Members discussed the motion (see *Handout Agendum 10, #2*) and made revisions to it. Based on a question from Dave Bitts, LB Boydston added "prior to August 1" to item #2. Mike Orcutt asked that in item #4 "river recreational fishery" be changed to "river fisheries" and that the sentence be cut after "total

allowable harvest". After discussion, LB Boydston suggested instead making the two sentences into one and deleting "increase the number of fish available to the recreational river fishery. This". Dave Hillemeier pointed out that the third sentence of #5 was ambiguous and could imply that the surplus must go outside the KMZ. After discussion, LB Boydston replaced "if as a result as such constraints the harvestable surplus of Klamath River fall Chinook cannot be fully utilized outside the KMZ, they should be allocated in the following precedence" with "if, as a result, the set-aside for ocean fisheries outside the KMZ sport fishery cannot be met, the fish should be utilized in the following order". He also changed item #6, deleting "and Tribes" and substituting "river fisheries" for "Tribes".

Keith Wilkinson called for the question on the following motion made by LB Boydston, seconded by Bitts and with friendly amendments and revisions (See *Handout Agendum 10, #3*):

Report and Recommendations to the Pacific Fishery Management Council:

- 1) The KFMC discussed the coho situation regarding Oregon Coastal Naturals and Rogue/Klamath impacts and its effects on fisheries for Klamath River fall Chinook.

- 2) The KFMC recommends using Chinook quotas in the Fort Bragg troll fishery under Option 1 prior to August 1st, to achieve coho impact ceilings as determined by the PFMC.
- 3) The harvest sharing of Klamath fall Chinook between Oregon and California under this option is not intended to be a long-term allocation, but is in response to coho concerns.
- 4) Reductions in the allowable ocean harvest of Klamath River fall Chinook will result in a reduction in the total allowable harvest, thereby reducing the Tribal allocation.
- 5) The KFMC recommends full utilization of the harvestable surplus of Klamath River fall Chinook. However, other FMP conservation objectives and ESA requirements may constrain seasons more than the objective for Klamath River fall Chinook. If, as a result, the set-aside for ocean fisheries outside the KMZ sport fishery cannot be met, the fish should be utilized in the following order: (1) fisheries within the KMZ, (2) a full Klamath River sport fishery, and if additional harvestable fish remain, (3) Klamath River Tribal fisheries. Any such transfer has no effect on any party's share, entitlement, or allocation in any future year.
- 6) The California Department of Fish and Game re-commits to monitor the river recreational fishery real-time, and to make projections of season catch available to the KFMC to facilitate the river fisheries fully accessing any unused harvestable surplus.

Jim Welter asked why limit motion item #2 to Fort Bragg? Why not extend to the KMZ?

****Motion Passed**** unanimously

Members then discussed the issues regarding increasing KMZ sport fishing opportunities. Keith Wilkinson said that the state groups should meet and look at the latest coho impacts, then schedule a

KFMC meeting and have recommendations ready by tomorrow morning on how to increase fishing in the KMZ in July.

Agendum 11. Public comment

Jim Welter said that they had options in Sacramento but never saw them modeled. They were not our original options. Jim Welter said it was hard for KMZ Coalition to do its work. He said they were still concerned about state parity. He asked that if the KFMC adds time in, it be added at both the beginning and at the end. Jim Welter said that fish don't get up into Oregon until later in July. He said that if you give us only July 4, that benefits only the Eureka area. He asked that they give them some days at the end of July to benefit Oregon.

Agendum 12. Set meeting times for the rest of the week

Next meetings were scheduled for Tuesday, April 9 at 9:00 am and Wednesday April 10, at 12:00pm.

Agendum 5. (continued) Charter review update

Dan Viele said he and Gary Curtis decided to have the Dept. of Interior's Solicitor review the draft changes to the Charter and Operating Procedures before sending a letter to the KFMC appointing authorities asking for their input. This issue will be tabled until the October, 2002 meeting.

Recess

Tuesday, April 9, 2002

Reconvene [Don Hansen absent; Neil Manji for LB Boydston; Sam Sharr for Steve King]

Agendum 10. (continued) Action: Develop additional recommendations for the 2002 management season for presentation to the PFMC and other agencies

Members reviewed the motion from the previous day.

****Motion**** Keith Wilkinson made the motion:

KMZ recreational fishery season is from May 15 to June 30, then August 1-15. If additional days in July are available, add July 1-4 then 29-31 July (working backwards July 4-1 and 31-29).

****Second**** Paul Kirk seconded the motion.

Members then discussed the motion in the context of the coho restriction impacts on the fishery. Paul Kirk said that the KFMC is the only chance for this KMZ input and that the July 4th weekend is a priority for them. Keith Wilkinson said that back in March the KMZ Coalition traded July days for more fishing in May. He said that the purpose of this motion was that in case any days in July were available they wanted to leave it open-to not preclude any days in July from the motion.

Upon further discussion, Keith Wilkinson withdrew the motion from consideration.

The members then discussed the July Fort Bragg fishery and the proposed 10,000 fish quota for that area. Dave Hillemeier asked if they would always want a quota there or are they hoping to not have one in the future? Dave Bitts said that he would like to envision not having one. He said that putting a quota on does compromise the effort data. Dave Hillemeier said that he was not opposed to the quota, but was concerned with how the data will be used in the future. He said that this was an STT issue but that the KFMC needed to be cognizant of this.

Agendum 12. (continued) Set meeting times for the rest of the week

Dan Viele said that the next meeting had been scheduled for Wednesday April 10, at 12:00 pm. He said the discussion will include potential items for the October meeting agenda, and spring Chinook issues.

Recess

Wednesday, April 10

Reconvene [Don Hansen absent; Sam Sharr for Steve King]

Agendum 10. (continued) Action: Develop additional recommendations for the 2002 management season for presentation to the PFMC and other agencies

Dave Bitts said that the SAS will report on their progress to the PFMC at 1:00 pm today. He said the SAS had not gotten the coho impacts down to where they need to be. If they don't, then the PFMC will discuss on the floor how to get there. Members then discussed the ESA targets, and the need for a lower exploitation rate on Oregon Coastal Natural (OCN) coho under the Oregon Endangered Species Act (ESA).

SPRING CHINOOK ISSUES

Agendum 13a. Spring Chinook tentative actions taken by the California Fish and Game Commission

Neil Manji discussed the sport fishery closures on Klamath River and Trinity River to protect spring-run Chinook. He said there were no negative comments from the public on the proposed closures, which would be adopted on April 25th. Neil Manji said that Hoopa Valley Tribe concerns, as co-managers, were not going in opposite directions (to CDFG).

Neil Manji said that the California Fish and Game Commission will ask CDFG to monitor the closures. He said CDFG thinks that sport angler take of spring Chinook is not high, except where thermal refugia are concerned.

Agendum 13b Progress report from KRTAT

George Kautsky (KRTAT Chair) said that the KRTAT presented a "mega-table" (spawner harvest and escapement table) to the KFMC at their March meeting. He gave an update on the inventory of available spring Chinook scales (approximately 6,000-7,000) being compiled by Jerry Barnes.

George Kautsky reported that several people met with some of the KRTAT on Monday, April 8 to discuss the KRTAT's spring Chinook tasks. They included: Michael Mohr, Mike Burner, Desma Williams, George Kautsky, Jerry Barnes, (all from the KRTAT), Robert Kope (NMFS), and Sam Sharr.

Members then discussed the availability of scales samples from the various agencies and how they might be used in

developing a management strategy for spring Chinook. George Kautsky said the KRTAT will meet in June to discuss spring Chinook management issues.

Recess

Reconvene (approximately 10 minutes later)

Agendum 14. Potential KRTAT meeting schedule related to spring Chinook issues and associated costs.

George Kautsky said that staff is requesting an estimate of travel costs for the additional work on spring Chinook, and that he would meet individually with Gary Curtis on that.

Members then discussed the possibility of developing a proposal for submission to the Klamath River Basin Fisheries Task Force for funding to accomplish the ageing of the spring Chinook scales. Sam Sharr indicated that a proposal could be developed that accomplish the work in increments in case full funding was not forthcoming.

****Motion**** Sam Sharr moved to direct the KRTAT to write a proposal, constructed in increments, to analyze scales for the purpose of developing a spring Chinook management plan

****Second**** Paul Kirk seconded the motion

****Motion Passed**** unanimously

Members then discussed the need for increased communication and coordination between the KRTAT and the Task Force Technical Working Group (TWG) since both groups have assignments regarding various aspects of the spring Chinook issue.

Recess-to allow members to attend the PFMC meeting.

Reconvene

Agendum 15. Process for development of spring Chinook policy

Gary Curtis said this agenda item was to discuss the development of a spring Chinook policy subcommittee.

Members discussed the mechanisms for putting forth a spring Chinook management policy. Dave Bitts said that information on harvest and run size was needed. He asked what escapement goals would be appropriate, given the habitat. He said determining all that can get pretty technical and we are nowhere near that point now.

Dan Viele said that the KFMC will try to prepare a Fishery Management Plan (FMP) amendment. He said there is a slot in the FMP for a spring Chinook objective. Sam Sharr said that the KFMC needs some feedback from PFMC staff on what kind of products will be expected for this process. Dan Viele volunteered to ask PFMC staff about this at the June 2002 meeting.

Dan Viele said that this would require two phases: 1) determination of what potential tools are available to manage spring Chinook, and 2) to assemble a team to develop plan amendment. He asked if the core of the plan should be done by the KFMC and passed along to the PFMC.

Sam Sharr asked: why not address the allocation issue? KRTAT could look at distribution of spring Chinook in the different fisheries. Dan Viele said that any plan would require 50:50 sharing. Sam Sharr said that seeing whether sharing is met would give incentive to move forward with management.

Mike Orcutt said that in looking at escapement rates, there are differences between PFMC Amendment 9 and the Congressionally-mandated pre-project escapement goals on the Trinity River (1984 Act). These are discrepancies in management approaches. Dave Bitts asked if we were supposed to restore pre-project levels of natural escapement there. Mike Orcutt said yes.

Agendum 16. Public Comment

There was no public comment.

GENERAL

Agendum 17. Review of current Technical Advisory Team (KRTAT) membership

Members reviewed the current KRTAT membership list (*see handout Agendum 17*). Dave Bitts pointed out that there is no member serving at the pleasure of the California troll fishery. He said he was happy with the performance of the KRTAT and doesn't feel that he is not represented by the team due to their diligence and fair-mindedness.

Dan Viele said that he has received reports that the Stock Projection Report isn't being worked on by all members, and that some KRTAT members have not shown up at any meetings for awhile.

Members then reviewed the list of members and their recent participation in KRTAT activities. George Kautsky said that when the same three people are doing all the work every year there is resentment. Dan Viele said that KRTAT members from NGOs are working pro bono and that is hard for them.

Agendum 18. Assignments to KRTAT, members, and staff

No new assignments made. See Attachment 3 for a list of assignments.

Agendum 19. Review motions and assignments

Jennifer Silveira reviewed the motions and assignments (see Attachment 3).

Agendum 20. Agenda for October, 2002, meeting

Members suggested the following items for the October, 2002, meeting agenda:

- 1) Coordination with SAS and scope of the KFMC's charge (Bitts)
- 2) Re-visit the allocation of the non-tribal share (Bitts)
- 3) Revision of the operating procedures and charter
- 4) Spring Chinook management
- 5) Report from the KRTAT
- 6) Tribal share and how it is affected by ESA and other non-Klamath constraints on ocean fisheries
- 7) NMFS Technical Recovery Team update (Klamath SONCC)

Members agreed not to include 2002 preliminary harvest updates or escapement information in the agenda. Members also agreed to budget extra time (full day) for discussion of spring Chinook management issues.

Agendum 21. Time and place of October, 2002, meeting

Next meetings:

- October 9-11, 2002, at FWS office in Yreka, California, 10:00 am Wednesday through 1:00pm Friday.
February, 2003, in Brookings

Sharr moved to adjourn

Klamath Fishery Management Council meeting adjourned at 4:15 pm

FINAL AGENDA

***Klamath Fishery Management Council Meeting
April 7-10, 2002
Columbia River Doubletree Hotel
Portland, Oregon
Meeting #69***

Sunday, April 7

Convene Klamath Fishery Management Council (KFMC) meeting
Introduce members

ADMINISTRATION

1. Report on status of member appointments
2. Review and approve agenda
3. Review handouts (staff)
4. Adopt minutes from the October 17-18, 2001, meeting
5. Charter review update (staff)
6. Public comment

2002 MANAGEMENT SEASON

7. Review of recommendations made to the PFMC by the KFMC in March
- 8a. Review of the PFMC options for public review
- 8b. Review of California Fish and Game Commission tentative options for river and ocean fisheries
- 8c. Discussion of California concerns regarding coho impacts
6. (continued) Public comment
- 8c. (continued) Discussion of California concerns regarding coho impacts
9. Klamath Ocean Harvest Model (KOHM) analysis of PFMC options

Recess

Monday, April 8

Reconvene

10. Action: Develop additional recommendations for the 2002 management season for presentation to the PFMC and other agencies (members)
11. Public comment

12. Set meeting times for the rest of the week
5. (continued) Charter review update (staff)

Tuesday, April 8

10. (continued)Action: Develop additional recommendations for the 2002 management season for presentation to the PFMC and other agencies (members)
12. (continued) Set meeting times for the rest of the week

Recess

Wednesday, April 10

Reconvene

10. (continued) Action: Develop additional recommendations for the 2002 management season for presentation to the PFMC and other agencies (members)

SPRING CHINOOK ISSUES

- 13a. Spring Chinook tentative actions taken by the California Fish and Game Commission
- 13b. Progress report from KRTAT
14. Potential KRTAT meeting schedule related to spring Chinook issues and associated costs.
15. Process for development of spring Chinook policy (members)
16. Public Comment

GENERAL

17. Review of current Technical Advisory Team (KRTAT) membership
18. Assignments to KRTAT, members, and staff
19. Review motions and assignments
20. Agenda for October, 2002, meeting
21. Time and place of October 2002, meeting

ADJOURN

***LIST OF HANDOUTS
Klamath Fishery Management Council Meeting
April 7-10, 2002
Columbia River Doubletree Hotel
Portland, Oregon
Meeting #69***

April 7, 2002

Agendum 4 Yurok Tribal recommended changes to the KFMC October 17 & 18, 2001 draft meeting minutes

Agendum 5 #1 Staff proposals for revisions to KFMC Charter and Operating Procedures
 #2 KFMC Charter
 #3 KFMC Operating Procedures

Agendum 7
 #1 KFMC report and recommendations to the PFMC, March 2002
 #2 Revised KMZ Coalition options for the 2002 ocean recreation season

Agendum 17 KFMC Technical Advisory Team membership List

Informational Handouts

 #1 Letter from Fish and Game Commission, Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations, Klamath River sports fishery
 #2 Letter from Fish and Game Commission, Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations, Ocean Sports fishery
 #3 Letter from California Department of Fish and Game to PFMC regarding estimated coho impacts off California

Agendum 4 #2 Corrections to October 17-18, 2001 KFMC draft meeting minutes from Dan Viele

Informational Handout

 #4 Photo from Brookings, Oregon, newspaper from October 13, 2001

Agendum 10 #1 Klamath Management Zone Fisheries Coalition preferred option for the KMZ ocean recreational fishery

April 8, 2002

Agendum 10 #2 Draft motion developed April 8, 2002, for inclusion in Supplemental KFMC report to the PFMC

April, 9, 2002

Agendum 10 #3 KFMC Draft Report and Recommendations to the PFMC for distribution to the SAS and STT

April, 10, 2002

Agendum 10 #4 Exhibit B.4.f. Supplemental KFMC Report and Recommendations to the PFMC, April 9, 2002

Agendum 1 #2 Revised agenda for April, 10, 2002

LIST OF ATTENDEES

***Klamath Fishery Management Council Meeting
April 7-10, 2002
Columbia River Doubletree Hotel
Portland, Oregon
Meeting #69***

The following individuals attended the Klamath fishery Management council meetings in Portland, Oregon, on April 7-10, 2002.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Representing</u>
Allen Grover,	KRTAT, California Department of Fish and Game
Michael Mohr	KRTAT, National Marine Fisheries Service
Gerry Reinholdt	Salmon Advisory Subpanel
Jim Welter	Salmon Advisory Subpanel
Mike Burner	KRTAT, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Jerry Barnes	KRTAT
Bob Crouch	KMZ Coalition
Brian Emley	Pacific Coast Federation of Fisherman=s Association
George Kautsky	KRTAT, Hoopa Valley Tribe
Desma Williams	KRTAT, Yurok Tribe
Neil Manji	California Department of Fish and Game
Duncan MacLean	Salmon Advisory Subpanel

ASSIGNMENTS AND MOTIONS

***Klamath Fishery Management Council Meeting
April 7-10, 2002
Columbia River Doubletree Hotel
Portland, Oregon
Meeting #69***

Sunday, April 7, 2002

Agendum 2

****Motion**** Keith Wilkinson made a motion to approve the agenda as amended

****Second**** LB Boydston seconded the motion

****Motion Passed**** unanimously

Agendum 4

****Motion**** Keith Wilkinson moved to approve the October, 2001, meeting minutes as amended

****Second**** Dave Bitts seconded the motion

****Motion Passed**** unanimously

Agendum 8c

****Motion**** LB Boydston made a motion to include the following in the KFMC report to the PFMC:

- 1) KFMC discussed the coho situation regarding OCN and Rogue/Klamath impacts and its effects on fisheries for Klamath River fall Chinook (KFC).
- 2) KFMC recommends using quotas in the Ft Bragg troll fishery under Option 1 to achieve coho impact ceilings as determined by the PFMC.
- 3) The harvest sharing of KFC between Oregon and California under this option is not intended to be a long-term allocation, but is in response to coho concerns.
- 4) Reductions in allowable ocean harvest of Klamath River fall Chinook and the resulting increase in the recreational river fishery will result in a reduction in the total allowable harvest, thereby reducing the Tribal allocation.

****Second**** Dave Bitts seconded the motion for discussion

****Motion Passed**** no vote taken

****Motion**** Keith Wilkinson moved to table the motion until tomorrow

****Second**** Paul Kirk seconded the motion

****Motion Passed**** unanimously

Monday, April 8, 2002

Agendum 10

****Motion**** Keith Wilkinson moved to remove the motion from the table

The motion was amended and revised and re-stated as follows:

Report and Recommendations to the Pacific Fishery Management Council:

- 1) The KFMC discussed the coho situation regarding Oregon Coastal Naturals and Rogue/Klamath impacts and

- its effects on fisheries for Klamath River fall Chinook.
- 2) The KFMC recommends using Chinook quotas in the Fort Bragg troll fishery under Option 1 prior to August 1st, to achieve coho impact ceilings as determined by the PFMC.
 - 3) The harvest sharing of Klamath fall Chinook between Oregon and California under this option is not intended to be a long-term allocation, but is in response to coho concerns.
 - 4) Reductions in the allowable ocean harvest of Klamath River fall Chinook will result in a reduction in the total allowable harvest, thereby reducing the Tribal allocation.
 - 5) The KFMC recommends full utilization of the harvestable surplus of Klamath River fall Chinook. However, other FMP conservation objectives and ESA requirements may constrain seasons more than the objective for Klamath River fall Chinook. If, as a result, the set-aside for ocean fisheries outside the KMZ sport fishery cannot be met, the fish should be utilized in the following order: (1) fisheries within the KMZ, (2) a full Klamath River sport fishery, and if additional harvestable fish remain, (3) Klamath River Tribal fisheries. Any such transfer has no effect on any party's share, entitlement, or allocation in any future year.
 - 6) The California Department of Fish and Game re-commits to monitor the river recreational fishery real-time, and to make projections of season catch available to the KFMC to facilitate the river fisheries= fully accessing any unused harvestable surplus.

****Motion Passed**** unanimously

Wednesday, April 10, 2002

Agendum 14

****Motion**** Sam Sharr made the motion:

The KFMC directs the KRTAT to work with staff to develop a proposal (constructed in increments) to analyze spring Chinook scale samples from the Klamath Basin in support of potential development of a spring Chinook management plan.

****Second**** Paul Kirk seconded the motion

****Motion Passed**** unanimously.

Assignments to Staff:

The staff and chair will have the Dept. of Interior Solicitor review the draft changes to the operating procedures and charter. Then they will inform the KFMC members of the Solicitor's response. This issue will be tabled until the October, 2002 meeting.

Assignments to Members:

Dan Viele will ask PFMC staff what kind of product the PFMC expects as a spring Chinook management objective for a Fishery Management Plan Amendment.

Assignments to KRTAT:

Work with staff to develop a proposal (constructed in increments) to analyze spring Chinook scale samples from the Klamath Basin in support of potential development of a spring Chinook management plan.

Assignments for spring Chinook management

- 1) assess the cohort reconstruction (is data adequate? is the KRTAT satisfied with it?)
- 2) look at harvest rates by fishery
- 3) look at tribal/non-tribal sharing
- 4) assess harvest rates by time and area within ocean fisheries
- 5) assess information available and come back with categories for management objectives
- 6) identify data gaps with regard to spring Chinook that return to the Klamath Basin (i.e. un-monitored fisheries)

Do a KOHM run showing what the tribal harvest would be absent all prior interception, for this year (what would be 50% of the harvestable surplus absent all non-tribal recreational and commercial fisheries).